

«Coordinated by»  
Vice editor-in-chief  
«Ricegrowing» journal  
Director deputy on scientific work  
FSBSI ARRI  
Dr. of agriculture, professor  
\_\_\_\_\_ V.S. Kovalev  
«\_\_»\_\_\_\_\_2016

«Approved by»  
Editor-in-chief  
«Ricegrowing» journal  
Director of  
FSBSI ARRI  
Dr. of agriculture, professor  
\_\_\_\_\_ S.V. Garkusha  
«\_\_»\_\_\_\_\_2016

**Regulations on specialization, review and publication of scientific articles  
in «Ricegrowing» journal**

Articles sent to the Editorial Board for consideration for publication in the "Ricegrowing" journal should contain original material never published before and meet the following criteria: profile subjects of the journal, the relevance and scientific novelty of the research, the consistency and accuracy of text presentation, the validity of the conclusions, the presence of literary references and other sources of information, practical significance.

All articles submitted to the Editorial Board, require to undergo peer review and editing. Reviewer is selected from among the leading scientists in this field, from members of the Editorial Board and external experts - doctors and candidates of sciences, with the closest to the topic scientific specialization.

The reviewer evaluates the relevance of the article, its methodological soundness, scientific validity, practical importance, notes the shortcomings and gives recommendations to remove them, prepares (if needed), comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the article and makes expert conclusion about the possibility (or impossibility) of article publication in the magazine. Review terms in each case are determined by taking into account conditions for rapid publication of the article.

Articles and reviews applied to journal are reviewed and discussed at a meeting of the Editorial Board. Edition shows author comments and suggestions on the article with a view to its finalization and secondary submission Proposed redaction of the article agreed with the author if necessary.

Articles that do not meet these requirements may be sent to the authors for revision or rejected by both formal and on scientific grounds.

If the article is not recommended for publication by the reviewer, the negative conclusion is sent to the author. In the case of a reasoned disagreement with the opinion of the reviewer author can contact the editorial board asking for sending his article for review to another reviewer. In this case, the editorial board of the journal either sends the article on re (additional) review or provides the author with reasoned refusal for publication. The final decision on the matter shall be made by editor-in-chief or his deputy, who has the right to publish the article as a discussion one.

Manuscripts not accepted for publication will not be returned. In case of refusal to publish the article, author receives a reasoned refusal. In the case of a negative decision on the publication editors reserve the right to not further engage in a discussion with the author about refusal motivations.

The presence of positive reviews is not a sufficient basis for the publication of the article. The final decision on whether and when to publish the article after reviewing is made by editor-in-chief or his deputy, and if necessary - the editorial board of the journal.

The decision of editorial board is reported to the authors, the manuscripts are not returned. Originals reviews are stored in the publishing office for 5 years.

The editorial board is not responsible for the completeness, uniqueness and originality of submitted materials.